



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/649,108	08/28/2000	Lieping Chen	07039-220001	7772

7590 01/14/2002

Mark S. Ellinger PH.D  
FISH & RICHARDSON PC  
3300 Dain Rauscher Plaza  
60 South Sixth Street  
Minneapolis, MN 55402

EXAMINER

ROARK, JESSICA H

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1644

DATE MAILED: 01/14/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/649,108	CHEN, LIEPING
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jessica H. Roark	1644

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 December 2001.

2a) This action is **FINAL**.      2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

4) Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) 1-48 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120**

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

**Attachment(s)**

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

Art Unit: 1644

#### DETAILED ACTION

1. The Art Unit location and the Examiner of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Jessica Roark, Group Art Unit 1644.

#### *Sequence Compliance*

2. The instant application appears to be in sequence compliance for patent applications containing nucleotide sequence and/or amino acid sequence disclosures.

#### *Restriction Requirement*

3. Applicant's amendment to the specification, filed 5/29/01 (Paper No. 6), is acknowledged.

4. Applicant's election of Group II (claims 6-10, 14-17, 22-24 and 45-48) in Paper No. 11 is acknowledged.

However, after further consideration the previous restriction requirement is hereby VACATED.

A new restriction requirement is set forth below. It is noted that the claims corresponding to the elected invention of copending USSN 09/451,291 are grouped together in Group I of this new restriction requirement.

5. Prior to setting forth the restriction requirement, it is also noted that claims depending from claim 14 encompass methods of co-stimulating a T cell that utilize different method steps to contact the T cell with the polypeptide: administering a polypeptide directly, administering a nucleic acid that encodes the polypeptide; and administering a cell modified to express the polypeptide. These methods utilize different products (the polypeptide per se, DNA, or a transfected cell) in these different method steps, and each requires a different search. In addition, these methods are classified in different classes and/or subclasses, as set forth below.

The restriction has therefore been set forth for these methods as separate groups, irrespective of the format of the claims.

6. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

I. Claims 1-5, 11-13 and 36-37, drawn to DNA sequences encoding a polypeptide that co-stimulates T cells and that hybridizes to complements of nucleic acid sequences encoding SEQ ID NOS: 1 or 3; vectors, host cells, and methods of producing the polypeptide, classified in Class 536, subclass 23.5; Class 435, subclasses 69.1, 455, 252.3, and 320.1.

II. Claims 6-10, drawn to polypeptides comprising SEQ ID NOS:1 or 3 or a related sequence; classified in Class 530, subclass 395.

III. Claims 14-17, 22-24 and 45-48, drawn to a method of co-stimulating a T cell by contacting the T cell *directly with a polypeptide* comprising SEQ ID NOS:1 or 3 or a related polypeptide, classified in Class 424, subclass 184.1.

IV. Claims 14, 16, 18, 22-24 and 45-48, drawn to a method of co-stimulating a T cell by contacting the T cell *with a polypeptide expressed from an administered nucleic acid encoding a peptide* comprising SEQ ID NOS:1 or 3 or a related sequence, classified in Class 514, subclass 44.

V. Claims 14, 16, 19-24 and 45-48, drawn to a method of co-stimulating a T cell by contacting the T cell *with a recombinant cell transfected with a nucleic acid encoding a polypeptide* comprising SEQ ID NOS:1 or 3 or a related sequence, classified in Class 424, subclass 93.21.

VI. Claims 25-32, drawn to a method of identifying a compound that inhibits or enhances an immune response, classified in Class 435, subclass 4.

VII. Claims 33-35, drawn to an antibody that binds the polypeptide of SEQ ID NOS:1 or 3 or a related polypeptide; classified in Class 530, subclass 387.1.

VIII. Claims 38-39, drawn to a fusion protein comprising the polypeptide of SEQ ID NOS:1 or 3 or a related polypeptide; classified in Class 530, subclasses 350 and 387.3.

IX. Claims 40-44, drawn to a nucleic acid molecule encoding a fusion protein comprising the polypeptide of SEQ ID NOS:1 or 3 or a related polypeptide; classified in Class 536, subclass 23.4.

7. Groups I, II, VII, VIII and IX are different products. Nucleic acids, polypeptides, antibodies to the polypeptides and fusion proteins differ with respect to their structures and physicochemical properties; therefore each product is patentably distinct.

8. Groups (I and II) and (IX and VIII) are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)).

In the instant case, the protein product can be made using an amino acid synthesizer and in addition the fusion protein can be made by chemical linkage.

9. Groups III, IV, V and VI are different methods. As noted supra, each method of co-stimulating, as well as the method of identifying, each differs with respect to one or more ingredients, method steps, and endpoints; therefore, each method is patentably distinct.

10. Groups (I and IV/V) and (II/VIII and III) are related as product and process of using. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)).

In the instant case:

the nucleic acid of Group I can also be used in a method of producing protein; the polypeptides of Group II can be used to produce antibodies; and the antibody of Group VIII can be used for affinity purification.

11. These inventions are distinct for the reasons given above. In addition, they have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by different classification and/or recognized divergent subject matter. Further, even though in some cases the classification is shared, a different field of search would be required based upon the structurally distinct products recited and the various methods of use comprising distinct method steps. Therefore restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

***Species Election***

12. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed Inventions I-IX: wherein the encoded polypeptide or encoding DNA is, or is related to:

- A) SEQ ID NO:1, or
- B) SEQ ID NO:3.

These species are distinct because each encoded polypeptide differs in structure; thus each polypeptide and its encoding DNA represents patentably distinct subject matter. Similarly, the methods employing these distinct products as well as antibodies to and fusion proteins comprising the distinct polypeptides also represent patentably distinct subject matter.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Currently, claims 1, 6, 14, 25, 29, 33, 38 and 40 are generic.

13. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed Invention VI: wherein the T cell activating stimulus is:

- A) an antibody to TcR,
- B) an antibody to CD3,
- C) an alloantigen, or
- D) an antigenic peptide.

These species are distinct because stimulus differs in structure and mode of action; thus each method employing these distinct stimuli represents patentably distinct subject matter.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Currently, claims 25 and 29 are generic.

Art Unit: 1644

14. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

15. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

16. Please Note: In an effort to enhance communication with our customers and reduce processing time, Group 1640 is running a Fax Response Pilot for Written Restriction Requirements. A dedicated Fax machine is in place to receive your responses. The Fax number is 703-308-4315. A Fax cover sheet is attached to this Office Action for your convenience. We encourage your participation in this Pilot program. If you have any questions or suggestions please contact Paula Hutzell, Ph.D., Supervisory Patent Examiner at Paula.Hutzell@uspto.gov or 703-308-4310. Thank you in advance for allowing us to enhance our customer service. Please limit the use of this dedicated Fax number to responses to Written Restrictions.

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jessica Roark whose telephone number is (703) 605-1209. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center telephone number is (703) 305-3014.

Jessica Roark, Ph.D.  
Patent Examiner  
Technology Center 1600  
January 14, 2002

P41114P 61m362  
PHILLIP GAMBEL, PH.D  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
TECH CENTER 1600  
1/14/02